

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/513,704	02/25/2000	Tuomo Hokkanen	2132-25PCON	7280	
75	590 12/01/2003		EXAMINER		
Lance J Lieberman Esq			NALVEN, ANDREW L		
Cohen Pontani	Lieberman & Pavane			<u> </u>	
Suite 1210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
551 Fifth Avenue			2134		
New York, NY 10176			DATE MAILED: 12/01/200	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

					$-\mathcal{M}$				
		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)	7				
Office Action Summary			/513,704	HOKKANEN ET AL.	!				
			aminer	Art Unit					
			drew Nalven	2134					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	ş				
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (2) period for reply is specified above, the maximum s ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. 30) days, a reply within tatutory period will app y will, by statute, causo	In no event, however, may a n the statutory minimum of th oly and will expire SIX (6) MC e the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communi NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>25 Februa</u>	ary 2000.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This actio	on is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims				,				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 16-18</u> is/are rejected.								
7)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or ele	ction requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)□ accepte	d or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		•	-					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examir	ner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	i2.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
* (3)	application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action Acknowledgment is made of a claim of ince a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign late Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the first series and the first series.	documents have of the priority donal Bureau (PC on for a list of the for domestic priority donal by the fordomestic priority domestic priority documents have documents documents have documents	ve been received. ve been received in ocuments have bee CT Rule 17.2(a)). e certified copies no ority under 35 U.S.Contence of the specifional application has ority under 35 U.S.Control application has originated application has orig	Application No In received in this National Stage It received. It is \$ 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data It is the provisional application or in an Application Data It is the provisional application or in an Application Data It is the provisional application Data It is the provisional application or in an Application Data It is the provisional application or in an Application Data It is the provisional application or in an Application Data It is the provisional application Data It is the provision Data It is the provision Data It is the provision Dat	lication) Sheet. ecific				
Attachmen			🗖 .						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (f mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/513,704 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-18 are pending.

2. The IDS received on 2/25/2000 has been received and considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heinz US Patent No. 5,812,764 in view of Dean et al US Patent No 6,223,292. Heinz discloses a password management system over a communications network.
- 5. With regards to claims 1 and 6, Heinz discloses providing the user of a service with a set of expendable passwords for remotely accessing a service via a network (Heinz, column 4, lines 1-4 and column 6, lines 44-52) and the storing of the provided passwords on the terminal device of the user (Heinz, column 4, lines 8-13). A connection is set up via the network from the terminal to the server to enable use of the service (Heinz, column 5, lines 34-46). Heinz further discloses the receiving of a setup signal and the validation of the password at the server for either allowing or denying

access (Heinz, column 6, lines 1-12). Heinz lacks a reference to the terminal automatically selecting from the stored set of expendable passwords and transmitting the password as a portion of a connection setup signal. Dean teaches an authorization system for computer products. Dean discloses the transmission of a password as a portion of a setup signal (Dean, column 5, lines 29-31 and Figure 10). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Dean's method of using a terminal selected password as a portion of a setup signal because it offers the advantage of allowing the server to determine if a user is authorized and whether the usage of the content is within predetermined policies relating to the use of the content (Dean, column 1, lines 34-43).

- 6. With regards to claims 2 and 7, Heinz discloses the registering at the terminal of each stored password once it has been used to log-on (Heinz, column 6, lines 13-17).
- 7. With regards to claims 3 and 8, Heinz discloses the updating of expendable passwords stored on the terminal device by transmitting a new set from the server to the terminal (Heinz, column 6, lines 44-48 and Figure 3).
- 8. With regards to claims 4 and 9, Heinz discloses the automatic ordering of a new set of passwords by the terminal to the server when all of the passwords have been used (Heinz, column 6, lines 48-54).
- 9. Claims 5 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heinz US Patent No. 5,812,764 and Dean et al US Patent No 6,223,292 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Nielsen US Patent No. 6,006,333. Heinz and

Dean, as described above, fail to teach the existence of more than one set of passwords corresponding to a plurality of remotely accessible services. Nielsen teaches a password helper for presenting appropriate client passwords to remote servers. Nielsen discloses a database of passwords corresponding to different remote servers (Neilsen, column 3, lines 64-66) and a means for selecting one of the set of passwords corresponding to the service being accessed by the user for use in logging-in (Nielsen, column 4 line 57 – column 5 line 3). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Nielsen's method of storing multiple passwords corresponding to different remote server services because it offers the advantage of allowing authentication to a plurality of services without the user having to enter a password for each access to the plurality of remote servers (Nielsen, column 1, lines 31-55).

- 10. Claims 12-14, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heinz US Patent No. 5,812,764 and Dean et al US Patent No. 6,223,292 as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Sormunen et al US Patent No. 6,113,078.
- 11. With regards to claim 12, Heinz and Dean fail to teach a wired network using a telephone. Sormunen discloses a telecommunications network using a telephone (Sormunen, column 6, lines 58-67). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Sormunen's method of using a wired telecommunications network because it offers the advantage of being

Art Unit: 2134

able to use email as a method of transmitting information (Sormunen, column 5, lines 63-67).

- 12. With regards to claims 13 and 14, Heinz and Dean fail to teach the network being a mobile network using GSM. Sormunen discloses a mobile GSM network using GSM terminals (Sormunen, column 3, lines 12-31. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Sormunen's method of incorporating GSM terminals and networks because it offers the advantage of providing enciphered transmissions and fast data transfer (Sormunen, column 3, lines 36-41).
- 13. With regards to claim 18, Heinz and Dean disclose the updating of expendable passwords stored on the terminal device by transmitting a new set from the server to the terminal at the request of the terminal (Heinz, column 6, lines 44-48 and Figure 3). Heinz and Dean fail to teach the use of short message service to send a request for a password. Sormunen discloses the use of short message service for use in requesting a password (Sormunen, column 3, lines 54-60). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Sormunen's method of requesting a password with short message service messages because it offers the advantage of encrypting the messages and prevents outsiders from deciphering the request or the returned passwords (Sormunen, column 3, lines 65-67).
- 14. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heinz US Patent No. 5,812,764, Dean et al US Patent No 6,223,292, and

Page 5

Art Unit: 2134

Sormunen et al US Patent No. 6,113,078 as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Nielsen US Patent No. 6,006,333. Heinz, Dean, and Sormunen, as described above, fail to teach the existence of a directory service storing information corresponding to a plurality of remotely accessible services. Nielsen discloses a database of passwords corresponding to different remote servers (Neilsen, column 3, lines 64-66) and service identifiers and password names corresponding to the service being accessed by the user for use in logging-in (Nielsen, column 4 line 57 – column 5 line 3). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Nielsen's method of storing multiple passwords corresponding to different remote server services because it offers the advantage of allowing authentication to a plurality of services without user intervention (Nielsen, column 2, lines 12-27).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 15. Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 16. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
- 17. The cited prior art fails to teach the limitations of claim 15 that disclose software on the subscriber identity module further comprising means for identifying the service by

Page 6

Art Unit: 2134

a telephone number entered by the user at the terminal device to access the service and adding means further comprising means for appending to the telephone number a predetermined number of digits characterizing the one of the stored passwords selected by the selecting means.

Conclusion

- 18. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 19. Any inquiry regarding this communication from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Nalven at (703) 305-8407 during the hours of 7:15 AM 4:45 PM Monday through Thursday. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

In the event that attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on (703) 308 – 4789.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 872-9306 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Page 7

Art Unit: 2134

Page 8

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Andrew Nalven

ALN

MATTHEW SMITHERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Art Unit 2134